(d) whether it is a fact that the total number of passengers inclined to use air-service in the Southern Region which covers Hyderabad, Goa, Bangalore, Mysore, Vijayawada, Rajamundry, Ramagundam, Jaipur, Visakhapatnam and Bhubaneshwar shot up from 1000 to 3000; and

(e) what are the reasons that Government are not considering the demands of the Southern Region for expansion of the Vayudoot service in South India?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Vayudoot service from Hyderabad to Cuddapah was discontinued due to runway condition not being upto the mark. After completion of the work in Feb., 1988 and after conducting trial landing, Cuddapah has been incorporated into Hyderabad schedule for flights to commence w.e.f. 5th May, 1988. The work carried out by the CPWD was delayed due to non-availability of water.

(c) At present Vayudoot has only one aircraft based at Hyderabad and Madras. After introduction of additional aircraft capacity, Vayudoot will consider operating these stations. However, Gulbarga and Nagarjunasagar are not in the approved list of stations to be airlinked by Vayudoot during the current Five Year Plan period.

(d) While the traffic potential of all the stations mentioned is not available, the available statistics indicates that Vayudoot revenue from Hyderabad base has increased by approximately 100 per cent in the last year.

(e) Since December, 1986, Vayudoot has added the following stations in the South India:—

Ramagundam	12-1-87
Mangalore	3-2-87
Madurai	2-3-87
Thanjavur	16-1-87
Cochin	3-2-87
Neyveli	7-6-87
Agatti	16-4-88
Madras	21-12-86
Vijayawada	12-12-86
Coimbatore	21-12-86

Any further expansion will be subject to availability of additional aircraft capacity.

इंडियन एयरलाइन्स और एयर इंडिया के अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध लापरवाही और भ्रष्टाचार के मामले

918. **श्री शरद यादव :** क्या नागर विमानन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या 1985–86, 1986–87 और 1987–88 के वर्षों के दौरान इंडियन एयरलाइंस और एयर इंडिया के अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध लापरवाही तथा भ्रष्टाचार के कोई मामले चलाये गये थे ;

(ख) 1985–86 से 1987–88 तक की अवधि के दौरान किन किन अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध विभागीय कार्यवाही की गयी और उनके पदनाम क्या क्या हैं तथा उनके विरुद्ध क्या क्या आरोप लगाये गये थे ;

(ग) क्या उपर्युक्त अवधि के दौरान केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो द्वारा बहुत से अधिकारियों को दोषी पाया गया था ; यदि हां, तो दोषी पाये गये अधिकारियों की संख्या कितनी है ;

(घ) क्या यह सच है कि इंडियन एयरलाइंस और एयर इंडिया के उन अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध कोई विभागीय कार्यवाही नहीं की गयी, जिन्हें केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो द्वारा दोषी पाया गया था ; और

(ङ) यदि हां, तो क्या दोषी पाये गये संबंधित अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध कोई कार्यवाही की गयी है और इस संबंध में क्या प्रगति हुई है ?

श्रम मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री जगदीश टाईटलर) : (क) से (ङ) अपेक्षित सूचना एकत्र की जा रही है और सभा पटल पर रख दी जाएगी ।

Complaints against railway employees

919. SHRI RAMSINGBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some serious complaints of corruption, blackmailing and utilising outsiders in preparation of railway receipts against some Parcel Clerks of New Delhi, were received and enquired into by Vigilance Department. Northern Railway during November|December, 1987;

(b) whether it is also a fact that some of the employees involved were previously charge-sheeted vide vig|212|87-Comml. II|60A for changing statement in DAR enquiry and let off merely by Censure;

(c) whether the Administration propose to enhance the punishment and transfer them to some un-important station out of Delhi area; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) One employee was taken up for changing stand during a disciplinary inquiry and was warned.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Railway employees running factories in the names of their wives

920. SHRI RAMSINGBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that some Parcel Clerks working at Delhi Station of northern railways were running some factories in the names of their wives without prior information and in violation of Railway Service Conduct Rules;

(b) whether it is also a fact that they were chargesheeted vide vigilance|171|84 Comml. I and recommended for transfer out of Delhi area;

(c) if so, the reasons for which they were not transferred; and

(d) whether Government propose to enhance the punishment and transfer them to some unimportant station outside Delhi Area, if not; reasons for the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) A Parcel Clerk of Delhi Main Station, in violation of the Conduct Rules, has failed to advise the Administration about the running of a garment factory and acquisition of property by his wife.

(b) A charge sheet was issued. However there was no specific recommendation for transfer out of Delhi area.

(c) and (d) There is no proposal to enhance the punishment imposed as the same is felt to be adequate. Transfer out of Delhi Area on this account does not arise.

Embezzlement by Railway Clerks

921. SHRI RAMSINGBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some Senior Parcel Clerks were involved in embezzlement of consignment of